

Ross Township  
Parks and Recreation Committee  
Minutes  
February 26<sup>th</sup> 2019

CALL TO ORDER/PLEDGE

Senkewitz called the regular meeting of the Ross Township Parks and Recreation Committee to order at 6:01 p.m. at the Ross Township Hall.

ROLL CALL

Present: Gail Hurn  
Zach  
Klipsch  
Alex Harris

Donna Joslin Tellam

Absent: Peggy Sattler

Diana Langshaw Ross Township Trustee (Committee

Also Present: Advisor)

Dave Senkewitz — Ross Township Trustee (Committee Advisor)

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

The agenda was approved as presented.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Pat Frohnapfel commented she was "here to see what's going on."

OLD BUSINESS

1. History of Committee - \*please see attachment\*

- Reviewed Ross Township Parks and Recreation Committee Historical Timeline compiled by Senkewitz and Langshaw
- Reviewed Kalamazoo River Valley Trail (KRVT) update email from David Rachowicz
- Discussed scorecard results for grant application - Michigan Recreation Passport Grant Program, Application was submitted in 2018 for an automated gate at the township park.

2, Park Plan

Senkewitz began discussion by stating the Historical Timeline is an outline of where the Committee has been, now it's up to the current Committee to decide where to go from here. He noted the Board is considering allocation of a separate fund in the township budget dedicated to park revenues and expenditures, and special projects will need to be presented to the Township Board for approval.

## NEW BUSINESS

### 1. Meeting Dates

For FY2019 meeting dates Will be held the first Thursday Of the month beginning at 6 p,m,

March 14

April 4

May 2

June 6

July-no meeting

August 1

September 5

October 3

November 7

December — no meeting

### 2. Committee Officers

The Township Board would like to look for two more people throughout the community to join the parks committee. There needs to be a Chairperson, Treasurer and Secretary. Members to consider whether they would have interest in contributing in any of these roles.

### 3. Goals (short and long term)

Brainstorming session - Elevate the park by: Paint the Bathrooms, New Picnic tables & buoys, discuss a community event such as Trucks Tunes, Drill a new well, Fix the erosion of the beach, update the Ross Township recreational masterplan (due Feb 1<sup>SE</sup> 2020) to be eligible for DNR grants, Make access to the Kalamazoo River Valley Trail, communicate with the public via quarterly "Ross Township newsletter" to forward the purpose of the group.

The topic of Trucks f'n Tunes event(s) was tabled for consideration by the entire committee at the next regular meeting since this will require agreement and commitment from all members.

### 4. Budget

Reviewed Ross Township Parks and Rec. Past Income/Expenses 16/17, 17/18, 18/19.

\*please see attachment\*

From the income/expense report, Hurn noted the park Masterplan cost about \$8,072, and questioned how much money is left over for the park. Langshaw noted there is a balance of approximately \$7,000.

Regarding grants, Tellam inquired how we can be more eligible to receive grants, and noted she has looked at various municipalities and their success with grant improvement to Parks. Langshaw noted each DNR grant opportunity has requirements for a Recreation Master Plan.

## MEMBER'S TIME

Hurn noted the well needs to be replaced. She informed the Committee it has been 30 years and is long overdue.

Klipsch observed the difference between revenue and expenses is around \$9,000, He asked if the Committee has the availability to spend this (not to spend all of the money but to make some improvements within the mission statement for Ross Township)? He would also be interested in setting some aside for special projects, noting that historically we know the park will roughly break even or end in positive territory.

Langshaw explained that in the past the township has set money aside and saved for bigger projects. She also reminded the Committee to get three bids during the quoting process.

Senkewitz expressed support for receiving new public opinion, and shared that the main focus of the Board, currently, is to put the park we have back into good condition.

Harris asked for the township Board to discuss the designation of funds, to fairly support the park just like the cemetery,

Tellam observed there are a lot of things that can be done to maintain the park. She mentioned John Kruezer, a waterfront professional, has suggested to cut V's into the beach to reduce erosion, She also knows a well driller-

## ADJOURN

The meeting was adjourned at 8:14 p.m.

Ross Twp

Park + Rec.

	Initials	Date
Prepared By		
Approved By		

Income

		1	2	3	4
		INC		Inc	Inc
		Passes/other		Donations	Donations Events
1	2016-17				
2	Fees collected (approx)	1400000			
3	Donation (Suppl)			1000000	
4					
5		8700000		1000000	
6	2017-18				
7	Fees collected (passes+)	1633400			
8	Trucks + tunes				160000
9	Ice cream			3000	
10					
11		1639400		3000	
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21	2018-19				
22	Fees /passes	3066320			126600
23					
24					
25					
26		3066320			
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					
33					
34					
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
40					

# Ross Twp Park + Comm.

	Initials	Date
Prepared By		
Approved By		

## Expenses

		1	2	3	4
		Rec. Plan Attorney Stefan	DNR Grant	Maintenance Paint/trim stumps	Salaries
1	2016-17				
2	sand (3 loads)				
3	Attorney (park plan)	1010.00			
4	Employees + mgr				?
5	Utilities/Trash				
6	Cleaning				
7	Repair / Maint.				
8					
9					
10					
11		1010.00	None	400	600
12					
13					
14	2017-18				
15					
16	sand			3 loads	
17	Employees mgr.				6579.00
18	Utilities / Trash				
19	Repair / Maint.			600.00	
20	Trucks + Tunes				
21	Park Plan (O'Boyle)	9062.00			
22	Tot T Signs + Print				
23	Supplies				
24	Grant		2500.00		
25					
26		9062.00	2500.00	1000.00	8879.00
27	2018-19				
28	sand			500.00	
29	rust / paint			5445	
30	Utilities + Trash				
31	trash extra Tot				
32	Signs				
33	T+T bands				
34	Salaries				8500.00
35	Supplies				
36	Grant		2500.00		
37					
38		No	2500.00	5995	8500.00
39					
40					

[illegible]

### Ross Township Parks and Recreation Committee Historical Timeline

The following is a compiled list of milestones completed with respect to Ross Township parks} beginning with the creation of the Ross Township Recreation Plan by the Planning Commission. Details were taken from meeting minutes of various Ross Township entities, and so rely on the accuracy of those minutes. This isn't meant to be an endorsement of activities, or to provide direction for future activities, It's only meant to provide a history, Sources are cited after each item (B - Board of Trustees, PC = Planning Commission, PR = Parks and Recreation Committee).

- May 2013 — Recreation Master Plan approved (work was started in 2010). It seems to have been needed in order to obtain grants. Grants require a 5-year recreation plan to be in place, Ross Township's Master Plan is currently past the 5 year timeframe, {source = Recreation Plan}
- Nov 2013 — ad-hoc citizens' group was meeting regularly with the goal of moving the objectives of the Recreation Plan forward. This was GLAT. (PC)
- Nov 2013 — Planning Commission investigated Prairieville Twp Parks Board and suggested a Ross Twp Board to formalize support of the Recreation Plan (PC)
- Mar 2014 — GLAT continued regular meetings focused on a system of bike paths. Prein & Newhoff was hired to produce trail maps, (PC)
- Mar 2014 — Twp Board envisioned implementation of the Recreation Plan via 2 avenues: 1) citizenbased group working regionally 2) Ross Twp Parks Board responsible for administration and funding of the goals in the plan. (PC)
- Apr 2014 — Parks and Recreation Committee bylaws were submitted to the Board for review. Revisions were requested. (B)
- Apr 2014 — Board agreed a Parks and Recreation Committee would be beneficial for facilitating the development of bike paths. Parks and Recreation Committee was created, (B)
- May 2014 — trail map completed by Prein & Newhoff for GLAT identifying preliminary routes and adjacent property ownership. (PC)
- Sep 2014 — Nominations for initial Parks Committee members were approved. Bylaws were approved. (B)
- Nov 2014 — First meeting of the Parks and Recreation Committee was Nov, 13, 2014 (B/PR)
- Nov 2014 — Twp supervisor discussed issues at the Park: failing wetland & pump, beach decay in swimming area (B)
- Nov 2014 — discussion of options to improve the Park: concessions, pavilion, playground equipment. It was noted GL Rotary Club may be willing to donate the pavilion, (B)
- Dec 2014 — Parks Committee was developing Mission and Vision statements. Educating the public and fundraising were the main focus. (3)
- ~~Dec 2014 — Recreation Plan approved by the DNR (PC)~~
- Jan 2015 — Township Park badly in need of work and township would like to pursue grant money for improvements. The Park could contribute to the trail envisioned by GLAT (PC)



- Mar 2015 — Twp Supervisor pursuing a grant for playground equipment in the park, also identified that a Parks Administrator is to be hired. (PC)
- May 2015 — KRVF raising funds to extend from Galesburg to Augusta, Ross Township trails to connect to Augusta when KRVF is complete. (B)
- Jun 2015 — Board discussed a possible Automated Gate at the Park. Also inquired about playground equipment. Application for a grant for the park has been submitted to the state. (B)
- Jul 2015 — KRVF asked GLAT to sponsor fundraising efforts of KRVF to Augusta and use possible extension of trail from Augusta to Gull Lake to generate interest, (PC)
- Jul 2015 — ice cream social held at the Park to gather public input (it's believed this was actually held at the township hall although the minutes say Park, and no record of results is available) (B)
- Jul 2015 — In order to receive grant money for playground equipment, a topographical survey must be completed. Prein & Newhoff proposal for survey was approved (there's no record of this being completed or the amount of the proposal). (B)
- Oct 2015 draft agreement was submitted to the Board for review (between Kalamazoo County, KCRC and Parks Foundation). Agreement was for development, maintenance and operation of KRVF from 35<sup>th</sup> St. to Augusta and Augusta to M". Parks Committee chairman requested Board to adopt a Resolution of Support for the agreement once it is finalized. (B)
- Nov 2015 — Resolution of Support for KRVF was adopted by the Board (source signed copy of Resolution)
- Jun 2016 — Loss of Parks Committee member Suzanne Sippel, who had been a driving force for GLAT. Parks Committee proposed to raise \$10,000 to hire a Planning Consultant for 12 months to help continue the work on development of the township bike trail (B)
- Jul 2016 -KRVF and GLAT merged. (PR)
- Jul 2016— Board approved \$10,000 for part-time Parks and Rec Planner to assist township/committee in organizing future plans, grant-writing and fundraising to support Township parks. (PR)
- Aug 2016 — Twp Supervisor sent email to Parks Committee members asking them to create a longterm Vision/Plan for Ross Township Park, Items would include; enhanced entrance, playscape area, current pavilion updates, current infrastructure updates, (PR)
- Oct 2016 — Parks Committee reviewed Park facilities and identified areas needing upgrades: landscaping, tree trunk removal, entrance improvements, playground areas, canoe/kayak access, automated access gate, well/pumps. (PR)
- Oct 2016— Parks Committee proposed to develop Park Master Plan using consultant (South Central Planning). The approved \$10,000 would be used for this. (PR)
- Dec 2016 — Suggestion by Parks Committee chair to have trailhead at GLVGC. (PR)
- Dec 2016 — Board approved Parks Committee to take bids for development of Ross Twp Park Master Plan (B)



- Jan 2017 — Parks Committee proposed to food truck/music events to the Board as a means to draw in more people (B)
  - Feb 2017 — Parks Committee ranked objectives as: 1) Beach 2) Concessions 3) Parking 4) Garden/ Playground/ Amphitheater 5) Activities 6) Rentals (PR)
  - Feb 2017 — Parks Committee agreed to submit park fee increase to the Board (PR)
  - Feb 2017 — Board approved increased park fees: \$10 daily w/ \$5 rebate to residents, \$25 seasonal fee to residents, \$40 seasonal fee to non-residents (B)
  - Feb 2017 — Board approved not more than 6 food truck events at the Park for 2017 with agreement to waive entrance fees (B)
  - Mar 2017 — OCBA was chosen to develop Park Master Plan
  - Apr 2017 — Parks Committee discussed community survey as an immediate priority to be used as input to Park Master Plan. Sticky-notes were collected at Trucks & Tunes events to gather input. (PR)
  - Jun 2017 — Park Planner (OCBA) stated barrier-free access is an important consideration to getting a grant (PR)
  - Jun 2017 — Three Trucks & Tunes dates set for summer 2017 (B)
  - Aug 2017 — Attendance at the last Trucks & Tunes event (summer 2017) was approximately 300 people. Committee feedback was that for the event to continue long term, the township should designate someone to organize the event (management of music, sponsors, advertising, food trucks, on-site help, clean-up)/ Also there would need to be a way to fund the event especially if entertainment needs to be paid. (PR)
  - Jan 2018 — Board gave approval for Parks Committee to move forward with the Master Plan revisions (from input gathered at Trucks & Tunes). The plan needed to be finished before applying for grant money. (B)
  - Feb 2018 — Parks Committee discussed first priority of Park project is a gate to generate income.
  - Feb 2018 — Board approved revised Park Master Plan. Step 1 is to apply for DNR grant for automated gate. This is needed to generate income and decrease payroll. Fee for grant writer is \$5,000. Township loaned \$2,500 to the Park for grant-writing consultant (B)
  - Mar 2018 — 3 Trucks & Tunes dates set for Summer 2018. (PR)
  - May 2018 — parks Committee recommended No private rentals of Park. Board approved motion to deny private rentals. (B)
- 
- May 2018 — Board approved \$5,000 to re-roof the pavilion, (B)
  - Jun 2018 — New roof installed on bathroom facility and new electrical outlets provided for Trucks & Tunes events (no explanation why May approval was to re-roof the pavilion but bathroom facility was re-roofed instead) (B)

- Feb 2019 - Update regarding KRVt received from Kalamazoo County, Preliminary planning complete for next three segments (35<sup>th</sup> St. to GA High School, GA HS to Fort Custer, Augusta to Gull Lake). Currently in design phase for 35<sup>th</sup> St to GA HS —construction beginning late summer 2019. Starting focused fundraising campaign for GA HS to Fort Custer segment, Once those two segments are complete, KRVt Will pursue the Augusta to Gull Lake segment. Confirmed there are no formal commitments between Ross Township and Kalamazoo County. All parties have expressed an interest in the Gull Lake Connectionp but funding and engineering won't be pursued until KRVt is complete to Fort Custer. (source = Kalamazoo County)

M Gmail

David Senkewitz <dave4rosstrustee@gmail.com>

---

RE: Facebook Message - Dave Senkewitz

1 message

---

David M. Rachowicz <dmrach@kalcounty.com>  
To: "dave4rosstrustee@gmail.com" <dave4rosstrustee@gmail.com>

Tue, Feb 5, 2019 at 4:56 PM

Good Afternoon Mr. Senkewitz:

I received your message below and wanted to provide you with some information and also my contact information for any follow-up or future questions you may have. Kalamazoo County Parks has completed the preliminary planning for the next three segments of the (RV Trail). These include 35<sup>th</sup> St to Galesburg Augusta High School, Galesburg Augusta High School to Fort Custer State Recreation Area, and Augusta to Gull Lake.

The last segment is the Ross Township piece. We currently are in the engineering design phase for the 35<sup>m</sup> St to Galesburg Augusta High School and plan on starting construction this summer 2019. We are also staffing focused on a public campaign to construct the segment from the High School to Fort Custer. The last discussions with the Ross Township Parks Board focused on that once these segments are completed, we will then pursue the Gull Lake Connection,

Ross Township or the Ross Township Board have not formal commitments with Kalamazoo County or the

KRV Trail. The County Parks completed the preliminary plans with funding assistance from the Parks Foundation of Kalamazoo County, jointly. All parties have expressed an interest in building the Gull Lake Connection but the formal planning and engineering plan will not be pursued until the next segments are complete.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further questions.

Thank you,

David Rachowicz, Director Parks & Expo Center  
2900 Lake Street | Kalamazoo, MI 49048  
Phone: 260.383.8778 | Fax 269.383.3724

---

[www.kalamazoo.com](http://www.kalamazoo.com)

# Michigan Recreation Passport Grant Program

2018

Organization: Ross Township

RP18-0129

## Recreation Passport Development Application Evaluation Worksheet-DNR

Only

Grant Amount Requested \$22,500.00  
 Match Percentage 25 0/0  
 Match Amount \$7,500.00  
 Total Project Cost \$30,000.00

Core Criteria li NEED FOR  
 THE

	Maximum Possible Points	Preliminary Score	Final Score
A. Applicant demonstrates a scarcity of parks and recreation services.	30	30	30
B. Applicant does not demonstrate a general scarcity of parks and recreation services, but demonstrates a scarcity of a specific recreation type which will be provided by the project.	15		
C. Appalicant does not demonstrate a general scarcity of parks and recreation services and does not demonstrate a scarcity for the specific recreation service type which will be provided by the project.	0		
FINANCIAL NEED OF THE APPLICANT:			
A. Bottom 1/3 Median Household Income,	30		
B, Middle 1/3 Median Household Income.	15		
C, Upper 1/3 and higher Median Household Income.	0		
RENOVATION:			
The proposed project is a renovation of an existing facility that is beyond its life expectancy typically 20 years for outdoor elements and 40 years for buildings,	20		
PRIORITY:			
The applicant has submitted only one application or, if the applicant submitted multiple applications, this application is the highest priority.	10	10	10
Maximum Possible Points	90	40	40

PROJECT

RATIONALE:

## Internal Comments:

Development to install an automated entrance gate \*Mth automated pay station at the entrance and exit driveway of the Ross Township Public Park located at 3800 East Gull Lake Drive to allow for consistent management, payment and access to the park by patrons in vehicles in accordance with the 5 year

- —Master-Plamand-is-the-first-step-in-efforts-toward-parleimprovements.Visitors-will-still-be-able-toaeess \_\_\_\_\_  
the park by foot or bike for no fee. Currently Non-Township Residents pay \$10 to enter daily, Township  
b

residents pay \$10 with a \$5 refund when bringing pass to township hall, Annual Passes are \$40 for non-residents and \$25 for residents. Currently seasonal employees collect fees at the gate in the summer or an honor box serves to collect fees when not staffed.

## Comments to Applicant:

This is the only Township park.

MH' is in the upper 1/3 at \$66,500 source: 5-Year American Community Survey (US Census Bureau)

## 2. SITE QAUTY

## LOCATION OF THE PROJECT SITE:

	Maximum	Preliminary	Final
	Possibte	Score	Score
	Points		
A. The location of the development is appropriate given the natural resources present, the applicant's existing park and recreation system, location of similar facilities, proximity to users, proximity to other destinations, accessibility to public and non-motorized transportation, compatibility of surrounding land uses, safety considerations and other relevant factors.	10	10	10

B. There are some concerns with the location of the development given the natural resources present, the applicant's existing park and recreation system location of similar facilities, proximity to users, proximity to other destinations, accessibility to public and non-motorized transportation, compatibility of surrounding land uses, safety considerations and other relevant factors. C, There are many concerns with the location of the development given the natural resources present, the applicant's existing park and recreation system, location of similar facilities, proximity to users, proximity to other destinations, accessibility to public and non-motorized transportation, compatibility of surrounding land uses, safety considerations and other relevant factors.

5

**EASE OF ACCESS:**

A. The site is easily recognizable as a public park and is easy to locate or will have adequate directional signage in place.

10

10

B, There is some difficulty in recognizing that the location is a public park, or the location is somewhat difficult to locate.

5

C. Site is difficult to locate and is difficult to recognize as public park.

0

Maximum Possible Points

20

20

20

---

Internal Comments:

Comments to Applicant:

**3. PROJECT QUALITY**


---

QUALITY OF OVERALL PARK AND RECREATION

Maximum

Preliminary

Final  
score

**FACILITY:**Possible  
Points

Score

A. Application clearly describes the proposed, existing, and future facilities at the site, including clear site plans. Expected traffic flow pattern is safe and convenient, access routes are provided to all facilities are placed to have the least environmental impact, layout maximizes groundwater infiltration, and the recreation and support facilities do not negatively impact each other.

10

10

10

B. Application clearly describes the proposed, existing, and future facilities at the site, including clear site plans. However, there are concerns about expected traffic flow, access to facilities, environmental impacts or the impact facilities will have on each other.

5

C- The application does not clearly describe the proposed, existing, and future facilities at the site or there are strong concerns about the expected traffic flow, access to facilities, environmental impacts or the impact facilities will have on each other.

0

**COMPATIBILITY:**

A. Facilities size and cost are appropriate and development is fully compatible with the size: natural and physical characteristics of the site.

10

10

10

B. There is some concerns about the compatibility of the site and its intended use.

5

C, The development has poor compatibility with the site.

**PROGRAMMING/MARKETING:**

A. The applicant has a clear plan on how to make the public aware of the project and facilities, including any universally design facilities in the project.

10

10

10

B. The applicant has a partial plan for publicizing the project and facilities, including any universally design

5

facilities in the project.



Ci The applicant has no plan for publicizing the project 0 and facilities, including any universally design facilities in the project.

**SAFETY MEASURES:**

- |  |    |    |    |
|--|----|----|----|
| A. User safety at the site is addressed through project design incorporating the following, as appropriate: maximization of visibility of people and parking areas, adequate lighting, hours of operation are or will be clearly posted, project is monitored at appropriate times and locations as necessary, physical layout clearly defines property lines and provides adequate separation with private spaces if appropriate for development, trails within parks minimize dead ends, public routes are clearly defined, and facilities in fire-prone areas are designed to protect from fire damage. | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| B. User safety at the site is addressed through project design incorporating the above design considerations, however some parts of the park raise concerns for user safety.   | 5  |    |    |
| C. User safety concerns have not been clearly incorporated into project design.  |    |    |    |

**ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY:**

- |   |    |
|---|----|
| A. Use of Three or More Environmentally Friendly Materials (must be listed as scope items; see application booklet for examples). | 10 |
| B. Some facilities in the application utilize environmentally friendly materials and design- (Must be listed as scope items).     | 5  |
| C. No facilities in the application utilize environmentally friendly materials and design.  | 0  |

**UNIVERSAL ACCESSIBILITY OF PARKS AND FACILITIES:**

- |   |    |
|---|----|
| A, The applicant obtained information on persons with disabilities in their community or the state and gathered comments regarding recreation interests | 10 |
|---|----|

and accessibility needs. The applicant has documented how the ideas/suggestions gathered from the public input process influenced the design of the proposed project. Documentation of dialogue with an organization or individual with disabilities was

provided by the applicant as documented how any applicable ideas and suggestions influenced the

Michigan Recreation Passport Grant Program 2018

Organization: ROSS Township

RP18-0129

Recreation Passport Development Application Evaluation Worksheet-DNR Only

design of the proposed project. (Please provide documentation)

B, ALL of the proposed facilities incorporate the Universal Design and are designed beyond the 2010 ADA Standards and current Accessibility Guidelines for Outdoor Developed Areas, 20

C. SOME of the facilities incorporate the Universal Design and are designed beyond the 2010 ADA Standards and current Accessibility Guidelines for Outdoor Developed Areas. Dimensions, preliminary drawings or cut-sheets were provided to demonstrate Universal Design Features. 10

D. None of the proposed facilities incorporate Universal Design and/or insufficient documentation was provided.

Maximum Possible Points 80 40 40

Internal Comments:

SEE Supplemental for UA and ELIGIBILITY Comments

to Applicant:

ENVIRONMENTAL No features identified.

UNIVERSAL ACCESSIBILITY: Entrance drive widening for traffic flow and walk/bike in users is not a universal design element.

STEWARDSHIP OF EXISTING FACILITIES:

Maximum Possible Points Preliminary Score Final Score

A, Applicant has not closed, sold, or transferred use of a park or public recreation facility for non-public recreation purpose, Or the applicant has never received a grant. 10 10

B. Applicant is in compliance with all requirements at park sites that have been acquired or developed with recreation grant assistance in the past - including signage requirements. Also, the applicant has complied with DNR procedures on active open grants (acquisition and development). Do not give points if the applicant has an on-going conversion, but is working to resolve the conversion. Give points if the applicant has never received a grant. 10 10 10

MAINTENANCE OF EXISTING FACILITIES:

## Michigan Recreation Passport Grant Program 2018

Organization: ROSS Township

RP18-0129

## Recreation Passport Development Application Evaluation Worksheet-DNR Only

4, A. The park and public recreation sites in the 10 10 10

## APPLICANT HISTORY

applicants system are appropriately operated,

02/19/2019

Of

maintained (including presence of signs for

grant-assisted sites), and staffed. The park maintenance schedule was provided.

B. Most parks and public recreation sites 5  
in the applicants system are appropriately operated and maintained, and staffed.

C. Few or no parks and public recreation sites in the applicant's system are appropriately operated and maintained.

## PAST PER CAPITA GRANT ASSISTANCE:

A. The applicant has received no (RP), MNRTF, or 20 20 20

LWCF grant assistance in the past five years,

B, The applicant has received below the median of 10  
per-capita RP, MNRTF, or LWCF grant assistance in the past five years.

C. The applicant has received above the median in 0  
per-capita RP, MNRTF, or LWCF grant assistance in the past five years.

Maximum Possible Points 50 50 50

## Internal Comments:

Park is encumbered LWCF 26-01539 (1993), in place.

Application states there was a 2014 Trust Fund Grant, no record exists.

## Comments to Applicant:

No grants within the last 5 years.

## 5. CONVERSION HISTORY

Applicant has a known unresolved conversion of a -20 grant-assisted site to a use that does not qualify as public outdoor recreation (applies to all grant programs).

Point DEDUCTION -20 0

## Internal Comments:

## Comments to Applicant:

Michigan Recreation Passport Grant Program 2018

Organization: ROSS Township

RP18-0129

Recreation Passport Development Application Evaluation Worksheet-DNR Only

10/31  
118  
per  
Progr  
em  
Mana  
-ger

---

GC

02/19/2019

of

revise  
d  
Secti  
ons C  
and B  
to  
reflec  
t the  
remo  
val of  
the  
pay  
statio  
n.

Entra  
nce  
Drive  
and  
Fenci  
ng  
(adde  
d per  
narra  
t ive)  
are  
the  
only  
eligibl

Michigan Recreation Passport Grant Program 2018

Organization: ROSS Township

RP18-0129

Recreation Passport Development Application Evaluation Worksheet-DNR Only

scop

items.

Maximum Points Possible — 240	Total Application Points	150	150
-------------------------------	--------------------------	-----	-----

Internal Comments:

SEE SUPPLEMENTAL Comments

to Applicant:

NOTE: The intended purpose of the Recreation Passport Grant Program is to assist local units of government with the development of indoor and outdoor recreation facilities. As stated in the Recreation Passport Application Guidelines, page 4: These funds cannot be used to supplement the operational budget for maintenance of local parks and recreation departments. Therefore the Pay Station scope Item is not eligible under this funding source. Please remove the p.y station from Section C2 Project \_\_\_\_\_ Details. The entrance drive improvements are eligible.

02/19/2019

7 of